Regarding the translation

The manuscript for the document that would later become a book titled "How to Control Gravity" was started around 1992 and completed in 1995. Since the manuscript was sent to a publisher in 1995, the information was leaked at that point.

The document was written using Lotus's Amipro word processing software, which had one of the world's largest market shares at the time, and later migrated to its successor, Wordpro. I liked this word processor because it made it easy to write mathematical formulas and diagrams, and I could also create detailed 2D diagrams using a grid. At the time, I never dreamed that this word processor would disappear. (I was also using a Japanese word processor called Ichitaro at the time, which seems to still exist.) I used to vaguely believe that technological regression was impossible, but the theory that evolution doesn't mean that only the best survive seems to be true. Neanderthals were probably more capable than Homo sapiens. Even now, gorillas are stronger than humans. I even doubt whether humans are smarter than gorillas. Gorillas have far superior wisdom for surviving in the forest.

Recently, I tried some free software that claims to be able to read LWP (probably an abbreviation for LotusWord Pro) document files, but it couldn't read the document file in this book due to an error. The old PC on which WordPro was installed had deteriorated with age and could no longer boot. I was also unable to install it from the CD-ROM on my new PC.

The only saving grace was that I had saved the document as a PDF by setting the print destination in WordPro to PDF. At the time, I submitted manuscripts to publishers on paper, and I only created the PDF document when I decided to make it publicly available online when I established the company (June 2006), which was quite a while after the publication date (2000). Without this PDF document, the information would have been almost fatally lost.

The PDF document remains, and while it's not impossible to convert it to a Microsoft Word document, it contains many diagrams and mathematical formulas, making the conversion

difficult and requiring significant manual editing. Furthermore, the complex paragraph structure makes a clean conversion challenging. The poor line spacing and overall appearance of the English translation based on this Japanese Word document is a result of these difficulties.

Spending too much time on translation is inappropriate, as there are many other things to do, and even if you spend too much time on it, it won't reach the quality of the original. If you find anything difficult to read or understand, please refer to the original Japanese text below.

http://www.graveng.com/opinion/

Since it is located there, please translate parts of it yourself and check it.

I recently reread a book I wrote for the first time in a quarter of a century for translation purposes, and it felt like I was picking a fight with the world. I can understand why it was so poorly received back then. Nowadays, I try to avoid making enemies, but I was young back then. This kind of thing is only possible when you're an outsider with no ties to any particular group. I don't really know why I did it. The only answer is that I had a sudden realization that I had to do it.

It's said that even with computer programs, something written six months ago is almost indistinguishable from something written by someone else. Even with manuscript written 30 years ago, you forget the details, even if you wrote them yourself. They must have strived to find solutions to mysteries like Saturn's rings, which have remained unsolved for hundreds of years. There must have been a sense of urgency, a fear that if someone didn't do it, the species would become extinct. It's understandable why no one wanted to do it; it would be incredibly difficult. At the time, I didn't think it would take this long.

The invention of the perpetual motion machine in Chapter 16 was later improved into a structure resembling the tomoe symbol found on drums, shrines, and portable shrines. At the time, I didn't think there was any meaning to such a tomoe symbol. It was only

after improving the invention that I began to think that there must have been some meaning to the tomoe symbol. Perhaps it was a case of "those with wisdom should think about it." It's likely that people in ancient times knew something, but there was a regression in science and technology.

Recent experiments conducted in 2025 confirmed energy amplification and the generation of significant thrust. This could be used for spacecraft thrust. The era of gravity control is upon us.

According to the new law of gravity that exists, based on the mathematically derived gravitational magnetic field described in this book, the following can be explained.

- Saturn's rings

(The acceleration of surrounding objects due to the rotating gravitational field is greatest above the equator and least near the poles of the rotation axis. If you move from the least to the most, rings are formed. The reason rings exist within a certain range is that the acceleration of rotation is small near the object and it falls into Saturn itself, while at a distance it is accelerated and ejected outwards.)

- The movement of planets in the solar system

(Planets are arranged on the extension of the Sun's equator, and the direction of the planets' orbits coincides with the direction of the Sun's rotation. If there were no influence from the Sun's rotating gravitational field, the orbits of the planets would be random.)

- The movement of galaxies, the outer edges are faster, dark matter & dark energy

(like a larger version of Saturn)

- Jets ejected from the poles of black holes at the center of galaxies

(like a larger version of Saturn)

- The accelerating expansion of the outer universe

(The universe we know is shaped like a giant disk

shaped galaxy, and like galaxies, the outer edges are accelerating and expanding. Even if there is a distant universe, it is too far away to observe. It is natural to think that the universe is not a universe, but probably a multiverse, with countless numbers like galaxies)

- Gravity control

  (the basic structure of gravity-based propulsion engines)

- Energy amplification

  (free energy device)

While I believe the theory of relativity is fundamentally wrong, I think the idea that "gravity bends light," which arose as a byproduct, has been proven correct through verification such as gravitational lensing. I can't say that Einstein was completely wrong either. There's also the incorrect law of conservation of energy, which has an even more detrimental effect.

In short, what our theory of gravity states is that "light is also bent by a moving gravitational field."

It was Aristotle, a great scholar who lived 2000 years before Galileo, who stated that "heavier objects fall faster than lighter objects," that allowed Galileo to realize that this was wrong. Without any context, it would be difficult to realize this. Hypotheses are uncertain in terms of whether they are right or wrong, but they allow us to deepen our understanding.

Einstein made mistakes, but those mistakes allowed others to notice and correct them. It's natural for scientists to make mistakes, because the method of proposing a hypothesis and then testing it may be wrong at first.

If something is wrong, it should be corrected; deifying scientists and blindly believing in them is not scientific. In other words, even if scientific knowledge is incorrect, it can be corrected, just as Galileo did. If scientists have no intention of correcting past knowledge, who can keep scientific knowledge healthy? Leaving incorrect scientific knowledge as it is is extremely dangerous, because it will prevent organisms from adapting to the environment and could lead to extinction.

Modern humanity stands at a crossroads: either adapt to the environment by correcting its scientific knowledge, or face extinction due to its inability to correct its scientific knowledge. Modern people must overcome this crisis. This is no time for petty territorial disputes.

I am now making a belated effort to ensure that what I have written in this book does not disappear due to regression. Our theory of gravity is not just a theory; it has great application value. It would be a mistake not to use it in space development. The difficulty lies in the fact that many people cling to unsustainable methods, but the smart approach is to do both in parallel.

November 2026

Takashi TSUDA